



Town of Thomaston

Selectman's Office

Town Hall

158 Main Street

P.O. Box 136

Thomaston, Connecticut 06787

Phone: 860-283-4421 Fax: 860-283-1378

DRAFT

THOMASTON BOARD OF SELECTMEN REGULAR MEETING TUESDAY, OCTOBER 4, 2011

Roll Call:

Meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

First Selectman Mone, Selectman Scheppard and Selectman Barrett, Sr., were present. Deborah Bournival, Administrative Assistant to the First Selectman, was available to take the minutes.

A motion was made by Selectman Scheppard to amend the agenda to add the WPCA right after the Track Committee. Motion was seconded by Selectman Barrett, Sr. With all in favor, motion carries.

Approval of Minutes:

A motion was made by Selectman Barrett, Sr. to approve the minutes of the September 20, 2011 regular meeting as presented. Motion was seconded by Selectman Scheppard. With all in favor, motion carries.

A motion was made by Selectman Barrett, Sr. to approve the minutes of the September 23, 2011 special meeting as presented. Motion was seconded by Selectman Scheppard. With all in favor, motion carries

Old Business/On-Call Engineering – Discussion and Approval:

First Selectman Mone initiated additional discussions as to why the committee felt Tighe & Bond should be chosen as the primary engineering firm when there was another firm that was the lowest qualified bidder. Discussion ensued among the members in attendance.

A motion was made by Selectman Scheppard to enter into an agreement with both Tighe & Bond and Weston & Sampson, with Tighe & Bond as primary engineer and Weston & Sampson as secondary and will be forwarded onto the Board of Finance because it is a multi-year contract. Motion was seconded by Selectman Barrett, Sr. With all in favor, motion carries.

Old Business/Road Improvements Plan Update

Paved a bit today, but had to stop again due to rain. We are a bit behind schedule and hope we will be able to catch up before end of season.

1. **Blakeman Road** - backfilling is complete.
2. **Prospect Street** - backfilling is complete.
3. **Pleasant Street** - backfilling is complete.

Road under construction:

1. **Broadview Heights** - the paving crew will move to Broadview Heights after Jackson Street is complete.
2. **Jackson Street** – binder course has started. Sub-base compaction test was performed with 97-98% compaction rate.
3. **Reynolds Bridge Road** - drainage work is nearly complete. We will move to Broadview Heights before we go to Reynolds Bridge.

Note: Looking at the setbacks from weather we have gotten, it is the recommendation to make Reynolds Bridge the last road to tear up this paving season. We need to move to Railroad Street Annex (a non-road bonding street because of damage from Hurricane Irene).

Old Business/Communications Request for Rosary Rally/Use of Town Park:

Selectman Barrett, Sr. was able to contact Father at St. Thomas Church about the request the town received for a rosary rally. Father indicated to Selectman Barrett, Sr. that this event could be held on their property as opposed to having it at Kenea Park which might not be able to accommodate large numbers of people. Debbie, the First Selectman's Administrative Assistant, contacted the rosary rally chairperson, directing her to contact the parish office.

New Business/Crystal Lake Resident Concerns :

There were many residents of this area in attendance at tonight's meeting (see list of names).

First Selectman Mone did meet with the residents from the Crystal Lake/Tracy Street neighborhood on September 22, 2011. From those discussions, First Selectman Mone requested the town attorney to review the maps and deeds of the properties in the neighborhood to ascertain the rights and responsibilities of the landowners and the municipality for the common drainway.

In addition, on September 27, 2011, First Selectman Mone, Paul Pronovost and Atty. Rybak walked the length of this drainway beginning at Judson Street and then northerly along Tracy Street to Woodruff Avenue, then easterly along Woodruff Avenue to the access way to the outlet of Crystal Lake.

After reviewing numerous deeds and survey maps, the following conclusions were made:

1. Crystal Lake is a privately owned pond of approximately 3 +/- acres in area which historically has discharged to an open drainage way leading southwesterly to Woodruff Avenue. This drainage way clearly shows on survey maps filed in the Town Hall in 1949 and 1959.
2. With the development of the Hotchkiss Subdivision in 1960, the drainage way appears to have become a buried pipe to allow for a development of Lots 1,2 and 3 as shown on the maps.
3. During the development of the subdivision, Hotchkiss & Hotchkiss, Inc. conveyed to the Town of Thomaston an easement to maintain a 15 inch diameter drainage pipe to capture surface water along the northerly boundary of Lots 9, 8, 7 and the easterly boundary of Lot 6 bordering Crystal Lake on the northerly side of Woodruff Avenue. The purpose of the easement was to capture surface water in catch basins and to convey the drainage into Crystal Lake near the headwall of the outlet spillway. Hotchkiss & Hotchkiss agreed to extend the outlet pipeline and to build and maintain the headwall at the end of the pipeline. (Volume 54, Page 178-180). This easement clearly shows on the 1985 survey map prepared for Edward and Joyce Hotchkiss, as well as the 1985 "record subdivision map" prepared for Mr. & Mrs. Hotchkiss which was filed with and approved by the Thomaston Planning & Zoning Commission.
4. Lot 6, which constitutes the current access way to the outlet of Crystal Lake, is now owned by the Crystal Lake Corporation, as is the weir structure which is the outlet and controls the level of Crystal Lake (Volume 87, Page 312; Volume 183, Page 732). Crystal Lake Corporation is responsible for the maintenance of the outlet structure and for controlling the discharge of water from the lake to the drainage culvert.
5. Following the drainage way course downhill from Woodruff Street shows that a number of highway catch basins and drainage pipes from other directions are tied into the drainway as it meanders through the various properties, primarily underground in a covered stone drainway, concrete or metal drainage pipe. To the extent that this common drainway is on private property without benefit of any drainage easements, it is the responsibility of the private landowners. The Town of Thomaston shares responsibility in those locations where the Town contributes street drainage or where the drainway parallels the street within the limits of the Town's right-of-way. The Town has the statutory right to drain streets into a common drainway, even if it is located on private property, provided it does so in the least damaging manner and does not discharge its drainage into door yards (C.G.S. Section 13a-138). The Town also has statutory "grandfathered" rights to drain where it is done so for at least 15 years (e.G.S. Section 13a-138a).
6. Where homeowners have constructed dwelling additions, driveways, swimming pools, etc. over the covered drainway, they have done so at their own risk. It is not the Town's responsibility if the drainage culvert erupts from unusual rainfall or blockage caused by debris or foreign objects entering the pipe.

7. With appropriate easements and proper engineering, the Town can, if it chooses, undertake to correct the most serious defects in the drainway, particularly where the water periodically erupts from the culvert at the Tracy Street/Walnut Street intersection, and where the drainage jumps the stream banks in the yard of the property on Tracy Street and then proceeds along the westerly side on Tracy Street as highway drainage. (The Town may also have to obtain a state discharge permit since this drainage eventually enters the state highway drainage system downtown where it approaches the Naugatuck River).
8. The Town is not legally responsible for this drainage simply by approving a wetlands permit for the weir outlet structure at Crystal Lake no more than the Town is legally responsible for issuing a zoning and building permit for the house at 70 Judson Street shown on the 2000 survey map which appears to be very close to, if not built over, the drainage culvert (C.G.S. Section 52-557n(b)).

The bottom line is that the Town has the authority, but not the legal obligation, to improve the drainage in the neighborhood with proper easements and engineering plans. The Town is not legally responsible for Crystal Lake or its outlet structure. Please let me know if I can provide further guidance.

First Selectman Mone indicated that the Town needs to address the problem at Tracy Street and will need to seek out an engineer to lay out a plan for us to proceed. In the interim, however, we can put in some curbing to control any water that may flow in this area. In addition, we can make some adjustments to drain heights.

Jeremy Leifert, our Inland Wetlands Watercourse Officer, and possibly Sean Hayden of the Northwest Conservation District, know about this situation and will have some input in this matter. Jeremy indicated that it might be possible that CL&P's clearing of a road is causing this to happen above Crystal Lake – the water follows the eroded pathway.

Barry Oswick wanted to know how they will lower the level of the lake.

Anthony DiStasi, Sr., one of the owners of Crystal Lake, said that the water coming into Crystal Lake is coming from above them – they, too, have been flooded out.

Rick Wilson, one of the residents of the area, wanted to know what the Town will do about drainage running through his property. First Selectman Mone indicated that we need to tread lightly because there are many old drainage courses running through private property throughout town.

Al Rodi, one of the owners of Crystal Lake, said the weir was designed to do away with the wire mesh that was there. Spring is usually the time the boards (weirs) are put in and Fall is the time the boards are taken out. He has been there 22 years and said the brook was once small, but is now like a river. He feels it is coming from High Street somewhere.

Vicma McHugh, another resident, wanted to know where the money went that was supposed to be for installation of sidewalks on Tracy Street years ago and wanted sidewalks reconstructed

adjacent to her property to the corner of Judson Street. First Selectman Mone said that the installation of curbing will also address the issue of water flowing on the street.

Further discussions ensued.

First Selectman Mone indicated that he will meet with Anthony DiStasi at his property on Saturday, October 8, at 10:30 a.m. to further inspect the area.

New Business/Small Cities Loan Request – 32 Hotchkiss Avenue:

Peter Testa of L. Wagner & Associates was in attendance at tonight's meeting to discuss loan request.

Resident of 32 Hotchkiss Avenue applied for a small cities loan for repairs to her roof. Peter inspected same and found that, in fact, she did have an active leak. Roof repair is estimated at approximately \$6,000.

According to the Assessor's card, the house was valued at \$214,810, but that was the 2006 reval number. The new market value is between \$170,000 - \$180,000. Mr. Testa indicated that the homeowner still owes approximately \$140,000 on the mortgage. Small cities operates on the 70% loan to value ratio – what does the Town want to do? The homeowner is a long-standing resident and is paid up on her taxes. First Selectman Mone said with this market, it is a bit risky, but is in favor of granting loan. Selectman Scheppard said while it is a good thing to help people, he is not convinced the market has bottomed out yet. It is risky.

A motion was made by Selectman Barrett, Sr. to approve the request of the owner of 32 Hotchkiss Avenue for a small cities loan not to exceed \$7,000. Motion was seconded by Selectman Scheppard. With all in favor, motion carries.

New Business/Track Committee:

Mike Burr was in attendance at tonight's meeting.

Mike gave a short overview as to where they are now in the scheme of things (i.e. they were able to raise \$32,200 in the first year through fundraising such as the Diane Burr run, grants and donations, allowing them to get a site evaluation, ultimately choosing Nystroms, completing the preliminary engineering piece, the GEO tech analysis and a site layout). Not one penny was at the Town's expense.

There were a few questions – Skip Delvaglio wanted to know who will be able to use the track. Mike indicated that it will mainly be used by the track & field and cross country teams for practices and meets, but can be used by residents of Thomaston and Litchfield. We are addressing safety issues with this track. Another question was asked addressing the maintenance of the track facility. Mike indicated that the committee will establish a fund for the upkeep and maintenance.

He indicated that the Track Committee will soon be in receipt of some major funding through the Neighborhood Assistance Act (NAA) which will allow them to move forward with the next phase of development. Milone & MacBroom was unable to make a donation through the Neighborhood Assistance Act (NAA), but indicated that they would take off 10% from their cost or approximately \$7,000. At that point, we will be shovel ready with construction documents. The committee would like to continue using Milone & MacBroom because they have already done so much work for us. The Board of Selectmen, according to the official Purchasing Procedures of the town, has the right to waive the bid requirements. It states in Item #4, **“in the case of licensed professionals, such as, but not limited to, architects, lawyers and engineers, bidding shall not be required; their services and fees shall be negotiated”**.

A motion was made by Selectman Scheppard to waive the bid process and negotiate with Milone & MacBroom as allowed under the Town’s Purchasing Procedures. Motion was seconded by Selectman Barrett, Sr. With all in favor, motion carries.

New Business/WPCA Issues:

Rich Tingle, Tom Mueller and Mike Madow were in attendance at tonight’s meeting.

They addressed three projects listed by priority relating to I/I based on the last two major rain events and previous engineered I/I studies:

Web Area

1.	locate placement of manholes	\$ 5,000.00
2.	installation of 8 manholes	\$ 64,000.00
3.	replace 100’ of 8” sewer pipe	\$ 20,000.00
4.	9100 ft. of sewer pipe televised & cleaned	\$ 14,000.00
5.	building survey	\$ 22,000.00
6.	evaluation, design & bid	<u>\$ 42,000.00</u>
	TOTAL	\$167,000.00

Rich indicated that he submitted an application for a grant with the DEEP and, if approved, could receive approximately \$36,000. Grant will only cover study portion of the project @ 55% of \$66,000 (must do RFQ based on QBX to be eligible).

* * * * *

Sanford Avenue/Clay Street Sewer Backups

1.	*smoke testing Clay Street and High Street Extension (approx. 10,000 LF)	\$ 5,000.00
2.	televise approx. 3,000 ft. sewer line on Clay Street w/o cleaning	\$ 3,000.00
3.	cleaning if needed (50% of total footage at 75% of televising cost)	<u>\$ 1,125.00</u>
		\$ 9,125.00

*smoke testing is performed on a per day basis (10,000 LF/day)

If nothing is found with the two tasks listed above, continue investigating upstream starting with older sections of sewers that drain into the Clay Street line.

a.	televising approx.. 7,000 ft. of sewer line on High Street Extension w/o cleaning	\$ 7,000.00
b.	Phase I survey of Clay Street and High Street Extension (approx.. 150 homes)	\$ 13,000.00
c.	dye-testing and televising spot locations (2 days)	\$ 5,000.00
d.	cleaning if needed (50% of total footage @ 75% of televising cost)	<u>\$ 2,625.00</u>
		\$27,625.00

WPCA indicated that it could handle the \$9,125.00 (Sanford Ave./Clay Street) piece as well as the \$27,625.00 piece comfortably. Then, with the balance of their account, they would be able to handle the \$72,875.00 piece (see below). They had \$50,000 earmarked per year in capital improvements account, but ran into a major issue with the airline at the plant (approx. \$80,000 cost) and some software issues (approx. \$35,000 cost). They are due to receive \$50,000 from user fees which is hinging on public hearing.

Investigate sewer lines listed on 1996 1/1 study map Sec. D (this excludes Highwood Farms, High Ridge Estates and Northfield sewers).

1.	smoke test approx.. 21,000 LF	\$12,500.00
2.	building survey estimated 300 homes	\$24,000.00
3.	televising approx.. 21,000 LF w/o cleaning	\$21,000.00
4.	dye testing & televising spot locations (allow 3 days)	\$ 7,500.00
5.	cleaning if needed (50% of total footage @ 75% of tv cost)	<u>\$ 7,875.00</u>
		\$72,875.00

Section A&B of Sewer Map... Woodbridge Lane/Hickory Hill Pump station service area.

During both storms we had pump station issues with pumps keeping up with the flow

In 2007, based on a 1996 1/1 study in town, we had Maguire perform a manhole inspection and ground survey. They found 18 manholes subject to inflow coming in from MH covers and we eliminated most of this problem by installing inflow dishes.

Fifteen (15) manholes were identified as being subjected to infiltration resulting from defects in the corbels (levelers), walls, pipe seals and/or manhole bases. Nothing has been done to date to correct.

In order to further identify and/ or quantify inflow the next steps would include smoke testing and building survey.

We replaced a pump at Woodbridge Lane after the two storms and it appears that this pump was part of the problem at Woodbridge Lane P/S handling flow. Both P/S are at capacity with both pumps running continuously during severe rain events. Pump stations are designed to handle flow by one (1) pump in the event one fails the other will handle flow while it is being repaired.

Prior to these last rain storms, we increased the impeller size in one of the pumps at Hickory Hill pump station. With this increase in our pumping rate and the inflow dishes we installed it appears we can get by for a while, but this needs to be addressed in the future.

(1) smoke test	\$ 18,000.00
(2) building survey	\$ 29,000.00
(3) televise w/o cleaning	\$ 44,000.00
(4) dye testing spot locations (4 days)	\$ 10,000.00
(5) cleaning if needed (50% of total footage @ 75% of tv cost)	<u>\$ 16,500.00</u>
	\$117,500.00

The above item is a future task. It will need to be brought to the Board of Finance with the idea that WPCA will be addressing with funding themselves.

A motion was made by Selectman Scheppard to recommend to the Board of Finance that the Board incorporate the “web area” into road improvements program or allocate the money in advance. Motion was seconded by Selectman Barrett, Sr. With all in favor, motion carries.

A motion was made by Selectman Scheppard to enable the WPCA to move forward with Sanford Avenue/Clay Street project as far as it can be taken. Motion was seconded by Selectman Barrett, Sr. With all in favor, motion carries.

New Business/EDC Presentation on CGI Communications:

Members of the Video Subcommittee of the EDC came to give a presentation of CGI Communications video. This video will communicate what the town has to offer through a video tour book that will be housed on our town website. There is no cost to the town and other municipalities in Connecticut have taken advantage of it as well.

Rich Sileo said the next step is working on the legal paperwork which the First Selectman will need to sign off on. First Selectman Mone indicated that before he does this, the town attorney will need to review.

New Business/Meadow & South Main Streets Sidewalk Bid Recommendation:

Two bids came in on this project; one from Colonna Concrete & Asphalt Paving in the amount of \$134,445.30 and the other from Raccio Construction Company in the amount of \$200,027.00. It is at the recommendation of BL Companies (the engineer) and Paul Pronovost that the contract be awarded to Colonna Concrete as they are the lowest, qualified bidder.

A motion was made by Selectman Scheppard to award the contract to Colonna Concrete because they are the lowest, qualified bidder at \$134,446.56. Motion was seconded by Selectman Barrett, Sr. With all in favor, motion carries.

A motion was made by Selectman Scheppard to reject the bid from Raccio Construction Company as their bid of \$200,027.00 was too high. Motion was seconded by Selectman Barrett, Sr. With all in favor, motion carries.

New Business/Energy Audit – Selection of ESCO Discussion:

First Selectman Mone presented a report from ECG Engineering (“Energy Conservation Measure (ECM) Scope Comparison”) that was prepared and presented to members of the energy audit committee on September 20, 2011.

There were three companies highlighted in this report; Johnson Controls, Ameresco and Honeywell. The committee recommended 5-2 with one abstention Johnson Controls based on all the information provided. Honeywell was immediately eliminated as they did not do well in the presentation. Johnson Controls had significant, positive cash flow. Discussions ensued.

A motion was made by Selectman Scheppard to move forward with Johnson Controls to the next study phase. Motion was seconded by Selectman Barrett, Sr. With all in favor, motion carries.

The next charge will be for Johnson Controls to come forward with what we have already asked for plus other areas. They will come with numbers. This should take approximately three months.

Board/Commission Appointments/Resignations:

None at this time.

Tax Refunds:

A motion was made by Selectman Barrett, Sr. to approve the tax refund submitted by the Tax Collector in the amount of \$78.58 to Leola Grigoraitis, Assessor corrected assessment – removed bathroom. Motion was seconded by Selectman Scheppard. With all in favor, motion carries.

A motion was made by Selectman Barrett, Sr. to approve the tax refund submitted by the Tax Collector in the amount of \$80.68 to Leola Grigoraitis, Assessor reduced assesement – removed bathroom. Motion was seconded by Selectman Scheppard. With all in favor, motion carries.

A motion was made by Selectman Barrett, Sr. to approve the tax refund submitted by the Tax Collector in the amount of \$600.57 to James Tillson, Assessor CofC corrected – rate for excess acreage. Motion was seconded by Selectman Scheppard. With all in favor, motion carries.

A motion was made by Selectman Barrett, Sr. to approve the tax refund submitted by the Tax Collector in the amount of \$40.74 to James Tillson, Assessor changed land to forest. Motion was seconded by Selectman Scheppard. With all in favor, motion carries.

Communications:

Letter received from resident Lucille Wotton of 85 Woodruff Avenue and read it into the record:

October 3, 20 11

My name is Lucille Wotton and I have resided at 85 Woodruff Avenue in Thomaston for 37 years. The reason for this letter is to give a little background on the area surrounding Crystal Lake.

In the years that the Hotchkiss Family owned the lake the water level was shallow, our brook never overflowed it's banks and our yard never flooded. My daughters were able to walk right thru the wetlands at the end of our property to the lake with no problems, a little muddy sometimes but no standing or flowing water. Our neighbor at the time explained to us the drainage system that was put in place when these homes were built and the level of the lake was an important part of that system.

When the lake was sold to the current owners things started to change. The level of the lake began to rise, first with sand bags holding back the water, then a concrete apparatus which is currently in place. The water level is high enough for me to see water from house, something that I never was able to see before. With the higher water level has come a lot of flooding over the past at least 15 to 17 years, but the last 5 or 6 years have been increasingly destructive. The wetlands beyond my property and my neighbors at 81 and 87 Woodruff Avenue and George Street have changed drastically. There is flowing water, a new pond appears to be fanning and a new brook, which used to be a narrow gully, is running into the drain pipe at the rear of my property which goes underground and empties into the brook in my yard. I have enclosed some photos to try and give you an idea of what has happened in that area in the last 4 months. The landscape is forever changed. My back yard is now becoming a wetlands, always very wet and muddy and with just a shower there are large puddles. I am afraid of losing the pool in the back yard, the outdoor fireplace is sinking, we've reinforced the banks of the brook, we've added fill, but the fill just washes away *with* the next rain. The ground is totally saturated and has been for the last several years. I can only wonder *if* the level of the lake were shallow would there be any flooding?

I would welcome the opportunity to tour the wetlands with you at your convenience.

Thank you.

* * * * *

The Field Inspection Report on High Street Extension was read and made a part of the record:

The Town requested that BL Companies inspect an area of existing bituminous pavement on High Street Extension. David Fritz (BL Companies) and Paul Pronovost (Town of Thomaston) met on site on September 6, 2011 (11 am) and walked the area of pavement in question. Preliminary observations from the site visit include:

Condition of Pavement: Compared to other streets in town that have been paved at or around the same period of time, overall High Street Extension looks very similar in appearance and condition as compared to the other streets. However, it is noted that there are some longitudinal cracks along the area that was observed. The cracks may be as a result of the settling of base, sub base material or subsurface soils along previous trench excavations from storm water lines or sewer lines. The surface condition of the pavement is consistent with a pavement structure several years in age with some exposing of aggregate. The condition is good and appears to be adequate structurally.

It should be noted there are a few areas of checking on the top course of asphalt. Checking is horizontal cracks in the asphalt that look like small scales on the pavement. Checking can be caused by a few things:

- Rolling asphalt when it is too hot. This is done usually on changeable days when it is cool in the morning and milder midday. The roller operator is still operating under the notion that the asphalt is still cooling at a certain rate when in reality it is cooling a little slower than anticipated. This situation is somewhat typical and may be the cause for some checking along area in question. On High Street Ext, this condition was only noticed in a few areas and the occurrence was not significant.
- Parking the roller before the asphalt is cool. This usually occurs when waiting on the paving box to receive trucks to spread the asphalt. Again these occurrences weren't any greater in the area in question than other project. Checking can also occur when manufacturer of asphalt delivers a product that is inconsistent in nature and the fines, oils and aggregates aren't mixed properly. As the paver spreads the asphalt making a smooth mat. the roller will move some of the fines into the voids creating horizontal lines of separation over an unevenly mixed batch of asphalt. If the batch is completely unmixed or the ratio is inconsistent with oils and fine materials then a segregation of material will occur and the asphalt should be rejected prior to a roller even toughing the spread asphalt.
- Checking can also occur when manufacturer of asphalt delivers a product that is inconsistent in nature and the fines, oils and aggregates aren't mixed properly. As the paver spreads the asphalt making a smooth mat. the roller will move some of the fines into the voids creating horizontal lines of separation over an unevenly mixed batch of asphalt. If the batch is completely unmixed or the ratio is inconsistent with oils and fine materials then a segregation of material will occur and the asphalt should be rejected prior to a roller even toughing the spread asphalt.

Comments:

1. Overall and based upon the site visit and preliminary (limited) evaluation, the quality of paving is good. The longitudinal cracks may be as a result of conditions or a factor outside of this project's and Contractor's proposed improvements. BL Companies was not present or under contract when the paving occurred. Further evaluation may provide additional information to determine the extent weather, temperature or other facts contributed to the checking condition. Records to check would be ambient temperature of day, temperature of asphalt when being placed on surface and weather conditions prior and after completion of paving.

Recommendations:

I. Take a minimum of several pavement cores of the area in question and have it analyzed by a qualified laboratory to determine the physical properties of the pavement. The density, compaction and material composition can be determined.

The small cracks (nicks) in the pavement should be monitored over the winter 10 determine if there is any significant change.

Video of sub-surface pipes (storm or sanitary) in the area of question should be performed to determine if there is joint separation or other issue resulting in the loss of sub-surface soils. Depending on the results of that survey, additional borings and geotechnical evaluation of the pavement base material and sub-surface soils should be performed to determine the reason for pavement settlement and resulting cracking.

First Selectman Mone wants to know where we go from here. Selectman Scheppard questions the value of BL's report. If BL feels overall the quality of paving is good, then why spend more money on this – what do we gain? Selectman Barrett, Sr. agrees. No further action taken.

Public Comment:

Christine Yoos wanted to know if P&Z will be accepting Ellen Lane and have we followed what the engineer suggests we do on High Street Extension. Also, where specifically on South Main Street are the new sidewalks going to be installed.

Motion was made by Selectman Scheppard to adjourn the meeting at 9:50 p.m. Motion was seconded by Selectman Barrett, Sr. With all in favor, motion carries.